



Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

Submission Confirmation

1 pesan

Aquatic Botany <aqbot@elsevier.com>
Kepada: rohani.amborappe@gmail.com

9 Oktober 2014 15.36

This is an automatically generated response indicating that your submission entitled "The effect of storage condition on viability of *Enhalus acoroides* seedlings", Research Paper has been received by the Editorial Office of Aquatic Botany.

The Editorial Office will contact you with a manuscript reference number and further details of the review process in due course.

Thank you for submitting your work to our journal.

With kind regards,

Elsevier Editorial System
Aquatic Botany



Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

AQBOT2719

1 pesan

Aquatic Botany <aqbot@elsevier.com>
Kepada: rohani.amborappe@gmail.com

10 Oktober 2014 10.05

Dear Dr. Ambo-Rappe,

I acknowledge with thanks the safe receipt of the manuscript The effect of storage condition on viability of Enhalus acroides seedlings, Research Paper .

This submission has been assigned the following reference number: AQBOT2719. You will be able to check on the progress of your paper by logging on to Elsevier Editorial System as an author. The URL is <http://ees.elsevier.com/aqbot/>.

A decision on publication will be communicated to you as soon as possible.

Please note that submission of an article is understood to imply that the article is original and is not being considered for publication elsewhere. Submission also implies that all authors have approved the paper for release and are in agreement with its content.

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to our journal.

Kind regards,

Administrative Support Agent
Administrative Support Agent [23-Mar-11]
Aquatic Botany



Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

AQBOT2719

5 pesan

Aquatic Botany <aqbot@elsevier.com>
Kepada: rohani.amborappe@gmail.com

2 Desember 2014 16.15

Ref.: Ms. No. AQBOT2719
The effect of storage condition on viability of *Enhalus acoroides* seedlings
Research Paper
Aquatic Botany

Dear Dr. Ambo-Rappe,

I can now inform you that your manuscript has been evaluated. The Editor has advised that the manuscript will be reconsidered for publication after major revision. Therefore, we would like to invite you to revise your manuscript, incorporating the changes suggested by the referees and editor.

For your guidance, reviewers' comments are appended below.

If you decide to revise the work, please submit a covering letter of changes or a rebuttal against each point which is being raised when you submit the revised manuscript. Please submit your revised manuscript within 60 days.

To submit a revision, go to <http://ees.elsevier.com/aqbot/> and log in as an Author.

You will see a menu item called "Submissions Needing Revision". You will find your submission record there. Please ensure that you upload the source files. This is especially important in case of figure files, please upload these one by one in EES. For example: fig. 1a, 1b and 1c as one file and figures 2 and 3 as separate files. Uploading a PDF file at this stage will create delays should your manuscript be finally accepted for publication.

When revising your manuscript please consult both the journal's Guide For Authors, and the information on electronic artwork preparation at the following website: <http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions>. If your revision is received after the 60-day deadline, it may be treated as a new submission.

The revised version of your submission is due by 31 Jan 2015.

Thank you for your interest in publishing in Aquatic Botany.

With kind regards,

Jaya Pavithra
Journal Manager
Aquatic Botany

Reviewers' comments:

EDITOR

Dear Dr. Ambo-Rappe, dear co-authors,

The reviews differ in their recommendations, as you can see below. I see the point that seagrass restoration from seed banks might be an alternative to transplanting mature specimens, but I also recognize by the comments of reviewer #3 that you might not have chosen a good species for this purpose. Also the chosen treatments are indeed not well justified, and others might have been more useful.

Based on these reviews and my own evaluation I decided that you might resubmit your work as short communication, and it will be reconsidered if the major revisions requested by the reviewers and me have been applied.

My comments:

- Restructure the paper according to the guidelines for a short communication (see author instructions).
- Rephrase the aim of the study: You should have a clear research question and/or hypothesis.
- Explain more about the reproduction process in this species (addressing the concerns by reviewer #3) in the introduction.
- Your literature search was incomplete: There are a couple of recent publications on seed storage and use in restoration processes for seagrasses. Thus you need to include these in your revised ms. Specifically compare the
- A short communication combines results & discussion. This might improve your current discussion, which is rather a repetition of results, but not a good discussion.
- Combine all four figures in one, using different subpanels.
- Change the presentation of your statistical results to (F-value with df-value as index=..., $P < \dots$). The df-values should be separated by comma, not a full stop.
- References: Remove issue numbers from citations. Verify citations for typographic errors. As stated above, check for more recent publications. Most of your citations are older ones.
- The English is ok, but there are a few phrases that need attention. You may consult a native English speaker for a final check.

Your manuscript will then be send out again for review.

I hope you find these recommendations helpful in revising your study.

Yours sincerely,
Elisabeth Gross

Handling editor

Reviewer #2: Review

The effect of storage conditions on viability of *Enhalus acoroides* seedlings

By R. Ambo-Rappe and I. Yasir

Seagrasses are being increasingly recognized for the many ecosystem services they provide to coastal areas yet are declining worldwide for multiple reasons. Simultaneously, efforts to restore seagrasses are also increasing as threats to seagrasses are being removed or mitigated. Methods to restore seagrasses remain very basic generally requiring moving adult plants from a donor to recipient sites. Incorporating seeds into a restoration program are now being considered as research reveals the importance of seeds in maintaining or recovering disturbed areas. The authors in this paper report on a project describing storage conditions for one important seagrass in the Indo-West Pacific with the expectations of developing a nursery for plants to be used in restoration programs. It is very basic yet provides some crucial information at the most critical point of the life history of this species. I support its publication with minor revisions as indicated below.

Introduction

Line 53 - use Waycott, et al. 2009 instead of Short and Wyllie -Echeverria 1996

Waycott, M, C. M. Duarte, T. J.B. Carruthers, R. J. Orth, W. C. Dennison, S. Olyarnik, A. Calladine, J. W. Fourqurean, K. L. Heck, Jr., A. R. Hughes, G. A. Kendrick, W. J. Kenworthy, F. T. Short, S. L. Williams. 2009. Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal ecosystems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106:12377-12381. www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0905620106.

Line 57, 58, 59: use Paling et al. 2009. Seagrass restoration. In Perillo, GME, et al. (eds), Coastal wetlands, an integrated ecosystems approach. Elsevier, Amsterdam, p 687-713; instead of Phillips 1980-, Calumpong and Fonseca 2001, and Bell et al, 2008. This 2009 paper is more relevant.

Line 68: suggest (1) be restated 'Many seagrass species produce seeds in large quantities that can be more easily harvested and potentially stored'.

Lines 70-72: suggest (3) be restated 'Seeds can lead to greater genetic diversity'.

Lines 79-84: I suggest moving this part of location to a 'Study Site' section just before the Methods portion of the text. Also, I would start the paragraph saying '*Enhalus acoroides* is the climax seagrass species in the Indo-Pacific. Seeds of *E. acoroides* are continuously available although in limited numbers (Personal observation) and have no dormancy period..... The absence.....viability.

Line 89: substitute 'optimal' for 'best'.

Line 93: temperature should be plural - temperatures.

Line 95: Were these mature fruits with viable seeds?

Line 96-97: move this to the Study Site section.

Line 108: how were the seeds released? Did you open the fruit manually?

Lines 108-110: suggest rewriting (e.g., after storage, the seeds were released from the fruits (how). We carefully selected 24 seeds similar in size (.....) from each....combination.

Line 116: '...using cool box...' - should this be '....using a cool box....' (I assume a cool box is a cooler?)

Lines 116-120: I think this could be rewritten as 'Eight seedlings from each treatment were tied to the cross sections of a gridded iron frame 50x50x 20 cm. The frame was anchored to the substrate using iron stakes. There were three replicate frame plots for each treatment yielding a total of 15 iron frames. The frame plots were.....'

Line 123: 'meet' should be 'met'

Line 124: '....there was only one treatment for the refrigerator treatments in the field.'

Line 133: what type of water quality instrument? The letters mean little to me or a reader.

Line 133-134: Were these water samples from the sediment or water?

Line 139: 'treatment' should be 'treatments'.

Line 139: '..is...' should be '...was...' OR should it be 'When the results were....'

Line 140: What type of Post-hoc test was conducted?

Line 146: '..was...' should be '....were...'

Line 152-153: This is discussion material and should be removed from results.

Lines 145-160: You should include the actual results of the statistical tests and in the figures give an indication which results were significantly different from each other similar to how you described results in 3.2.

Line 183: I would suggest you not state this (first study to....). While you have demonstrated issues with storage at low temperatures (in a refrigerator), you had only 2 temperature treatments. It is possible that storage could have been improved at slightly cooler temperatures and or salinities. Thus, an experimental design of 4 temperature and perhaps several salinities would have been more rigorous. Thus I would suggest you start the discussion by saying 'Storage conditions of seeds of *E. acoroides* had a significant influence on seed survivorship and this seedling growth. Seeds of fruit stored.....'

Line 189: '...followed the trend of seedling growth....'

Line 191: 'for seedling growth in the field.'

Line 194: suggest you say '..longer roots (25-122mm) which would provide for improved anchoring in the substrate and minimize the potential for uprooting by waves.'

All figures - treatment is plural. Also, what are the error bars? Figures 1 and 2 - you should indicate which treatments are significantly different from each other using letters above the boxes.

Figure 3 and 4 captions - need to rewrite as it is not all that clear, e.g. Fig. 4 suggest something like this - 'Average seedling growth rate of field planted seedlings from the different laboratory treatment (+/- 1 SD or SE?). Similar letters on treatment bars indicate treatments that were not significantly different'.

Reviewer #3: Theoretical framework is weak. Enhalus exhibits cryptic vivipary and of course the seeds have actually germinated while inside the fruit.

Methods: Age of fruits when harvested not indicated? Were all the fruits used of same age? Since *Enhalus* exhibits cryptic vivipary, it is important to know the age of fruits used.

Treatments: Not clear as to the basis for these treatments, temperature and length of days.

For further assistance, please visit our customer support site at <http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/list/p/7923>. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions and learn more about EES via interactive tutorials. You will also find our 24/7 support contact details should you need any further assistance from one of our customer support representatives.

Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

2 Desember 2014 19.54

Kepada: Susan Williams <slwilliams@ucdavis.edu>

Hi Susan,

I am sorry to disturb you again in your busy trip to DC.

I just want to forward email from Aquatic Botany about the *Enhalus* seed paper to let you know the progress. The paper got major correction and even have to change the type of submission into "Short Communication" for resubmission.

But I am delighted to know that the editor still give us a change for resubmission. Of course, this much better than rejection. Therefore, I will take the challenge to revise the manuscript to address the reviewer's and editor's comments. Hopefully, the paper will be able to be resubmitted on time (before the due date). I would like to ask your help again to read the revision before resubmission later on.

Thank you for your continuing help.

Kind Regards,
Rohani

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

2 Desember 2014 20.04

Kepada: Ina Yasir <inakhae@gmail.com>

Assalamu Alaikum wrwb. Kak Ina,

Saya forward tanggapan mengenai paper yang kita kirim ke Aquatic Botany. Kita dapat major correction, tapi tentu saja masih bersyukur karena bukan rejection...he..he. Artinya, editor masih memberikan kesempatan untuk diperbaiki dan disubmit kembali. Setelah saya lihat comment-nya, saya sangat optimis kita bisa "take the challenge", Insyaallah bisa kita lakukan.

Kita sama-sama pelajari commentnya....saya minta tolong Kak Ina untuk cari literatur terbaru terkait dengan paper kita ini sesuai comment editor. Saya coba masuk ke content-nya dulu dan memperbaiki dari segi itu, termasuk merubah type submissionnya.

Kita hanya diberi waktu 60 hari untuk perbaikan dan disubmit kembali. Artinya, paling lambat kita harus submit kembali akhir Januari 2015. Akan tetapi, saya fikir sebaiknya kita target saja menyelesaikan dalam bulan ini yah, mudah-mudahan bisa. Saya juga sudah menghubungi Susan untuk kembali membaca paper kita setelah diperbaiki sebelum resubmission.

Wassalam,

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Susan Williams <slwilliams@ucdavis.edu>

3 Desember 2014 08.23

Kepada: Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

3/16/2021

Gmail - AQBOT2719

Hi, Rohani,

This is good news. I will help you with the revision when you like. Be positive- I often have to do major revisions, even on papers that were extensively reviewed by colleagues and the funding agency. It's just the way publication goes.

Best regards,
Susan

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>
Kepada: Dmitry Lajus <dlajus@gmail.com>

8 April 2015 00.10

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

AQBOT2719R1

1 pesan

Aquatic Botany <aqbot@elsevier.com>
Kepada: rohani.amborappe@gmail.com

25 Januari 2015 21.55

Dear Dr. Ambo-Rappe,

Thank you for submitting the revised version of the manuscript AQBOT2719R1: The effect of storage condition on viability of *Enhalus acoroides* seedlings, Short Communication .

The Editor will be notified that your revised manuscript has been submitted. Please note that the Editor may consider further review necessary, in which case your manuscript will be sent to reviewers again.

You may check the status of your manuscript by logging onto Elsevier Editorial Systems at <http://ees.elsevier.com/aqbot/>.

As soon as I have a decision on publication, I will contact you again.

With kind regards,

Aquatic Botany



Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

AQBOT2719R2

1 pesan

Aquatic Botany <aqbot@elsevier.com>
Kepada: rohani.amborappe@gmail.com

3 Mei 2015 07.10

Dear Dr. Ambo-Rappe,

Thank you for submitting the revised version of the manuscript AQBOT2719R2: The effect of storage condition on viability of *Enhalus acoroides* seedlings, Short Communication .

The Editor will be notified that your revised manuscript has been submitted. Please note that the Editor may consider further review necessary, in which case your manuscript will be sent to reviewers again.

You may check the status of your manuscript by logging onto Elsevier Editorial Systems at <http://ees.elsevier.com/aqbot/>.

As soon as I have a decision on publication, I will contact you again.

With kind regards,

Aquatic Botany



Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

AQBOT2719R3

5 pesan

Aquatic Botany <aqbot@elsevier.com>
Kepada: rohani.amborappe@gmail.com

16 Juli 2015 08.42

Dear Dr. Ambo-Rappe,

Thank you for submitting the revised version of the manuscript AQBOT2719R3: The effect of storage condition on viability of *Enhalus acoroides* seedlings, Short Communication .

The Editor will be notified that your revised manuscript has been submitted. Please note that the Editor may consider further review necessary, in which case your manuscript will be sent to reviewers again.

You may check the status of your manuscript by logging onto Elsevier Editorial Systems at <http://ees.elsevier.com/aqbot/>.

As soon as I have a decision on publication, I will contact you again.

With kind regards,

Aquatic Botany

Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

25 Agustus 2015 10.41

Kepada: Aquatic Botany <aqbot@elsevier.com>, "Mohan, Govind (ELS-CHN)" <go.mohan@elsevier.com>

Dear Sir/Madam,

I would like to ask one important thing to you, and hopefully I can get a good suggestion. I have choose that I am not going to publish the paper in online system of Aquatic Botany due to the lack of funding. But, I keep thinking for the dissemination of the paper to broader audience especially in the poor and developing countries that mostly does not have access to journal databases, just like my country Indonesia.

I would like to ask if I would changes my mind and apply to put this paper in online system of Aquatic Botany , is still a change to do it? How much possibly I need to pay?

Kind Regards,
Rohani Ambo Rappe
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Aquatic Botany (ELS) <aqbot@elsevier.com>

25 Agustus 2015 17.39

Kepada: Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

Dear Dr. Rappe

Thank you for your email.

Please go through the below link and let me know if it answers all your questions. If not, please do not hesitate to contact me.

<http://www.research4life.org/>

Regards

Govind Mohan

Journal Manager - Global Journal Production



Elsevier

International Tech Park | Crest – 12th Floor | Taramani Road | Taramani | Chennai 600 113 | India

Tel: +91 44 42994666 | E-mail: go.mohan@elsevier.com url: www.elsevier.com

Line manager: **Sherene Beryl**. Email: (S.Beryl@elsevier.com)

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Aquatic Botany (ELS) <aqbot@elsevier.com>
Kepada: "rohani.amborappe@gmail.com" <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

26 Agustus 2015 11.34

Dear Dr. Ambo-Rappe

All papers in Aquatic Botany are published both online and in print without any charge to authors. In case the author would like to have his paper published in an Open Access modality, the fee to the author would be USD 3,300 excluding taxes.

As for accessibility for developing countries, please be informed that we participate in Research4Life (<http://www.research4life.org/>) making our journals available at no cost or significantly reduced rates.

Regards

Govind Mohan

Journal Manager - Global Journal Production



Elsevier

International Tech Park | Crest – 12th Floor | Taramani Road | Taramani | Chennai 600 113 | India

Tel: +91 44 42994666 | E-mail: go.mohan@elsevier.com url: www.elsevier.com

Line manager: **Sherene Beryl**. Email: (S.Beryl@elsevier.com)

From: Aquatic Botany (ELS)

Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2015 3:09 PM

To: 'Rohani Ambo Rappe'
Subject: RE: AQBOT2719R3

Dear Dr. Rappe

Thank you for your email.

Please go through the below link and let me know if it answers all your questions. If not, please do not hesitate to contact me.

<http://www.research4life.org/>

Regards

Govind Mohan

Journal Manager - Global Journal Production



Elsevier

International Tech Park | Crest – 12th Floor | Taramani Road | Taramani | Chennai 600 113 | India

Tel: +91 44 42994666 | E-mail: go.mohan@elsevier.com url: www.elsevier.com

Line manager: **Sherene Beryl**. Email: (S.Beryl@elsevier.com)

From: Rohani Ambo Rappe [<mailto:rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2015 8:11 AM

To: Aquatic Botany (ELS); Mohan, Govind (ELS-CHN)

Subject: Re: AQBOT2719R3

Dear Sir/Madam,

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>
Kepada: "Aquatic Botany (ELS)" <aqbot@elsevier.com>

1 September 2015 19.07

Dear Mr. Govin Mohan,

I am sorry for my late response to your email, I really need further assistance for your email below:

All papers in Aquatic Botany are published both online and in print without any charge to authors. In case the author would like to have his paper published in an Open Access modality, the fee to the author would be USD 3,300 excluding taxes.

As for accessibility for developing countries, please be informed that we participate in Research4Life (<http://www.research4life.org/>) making our journals available at no cost or significantly reduced rates.

Yes, you're right that I mean to publish the paper in Open Access so it will be available to broader audience, especially in developing countries which do not have access to journal databases like Indonesia. I have also check the website (research4life), but unfortunately Indonesia and many other countries in Asia are not listed, therefore we do not have access via the program also.

Therefore, I would like to ask if possible I publish my recent paper in an Open Access modality with lower rate? I still have a small grant for the payment, but it does not enough if I have to pay USD 3,300 excluding taxes.

I am waiting your response and thank you very much for your kind assistance.

Best Regards,
Rohani

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

Final decision AQBOT2719R3

5 pesan

Aquatic Botany <aqbot@elsevier.com>
Kepada: rohani.amborappe@gmail.com

20 Juli 2015 15.47

Ref.: Ms. No. AQBOT2719R3
The effect of storage condition on viability of *Enhalus acoroides* seedlings
Aquatic Botany

Dear Dr. Ambo-Rappe,

I am pleased to inform you that your paper has now been accepted for publication in Aquatic Botany. Your accepted manuscript will now be transferred to our production department and work will begin on creation of the proof. If we need any additional information to create the proof, we will let you know. If not, you will be contacted again in the next few days with a request to approve the proof and to complete a number of online forms that are required for publication.

When your paper is published on ScienceDirect, you want to make sure it gets the attention it deserves. To help you get your message across, Elsevier has developed a new, free service called AudioSlides: brief, webcast-style presentations that are shown (publicly available) next to your published article. This format gives you the opportunity to explain your research in your own words and attract interest. You will receive an invitation email to create an AudioSlides presentation shortly. For more information and examples, please visit <http://www.elsevier.com/audioslides>.

Aquatic Botany features the Interactive Plot Viewer, see: <http://www.elsevier.com/interactiveplots>. Interactive Plots provide easy access to the data behind plots. To include one with your article, please prepare a .csv file with your plot data and test it online at <http://authortools.elsevier.com/interactiveplots/verification> before submission as supplementary material.

Thank you for submitting your work to this journal.

With kind regards,

Elisabeth M. Gross
Editor
Aquatic Botany

Please update your personal information such as classifications or keywords using the following link
<http://ees.elsevier.com/aqbot/l.asp?i=42969&l=85HOSHUA>.

Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

21 Juli 2015 09.51

Kepada: Ina Yasir <inakhae@gmail.com>, Susan Williams <swilliams@ucdavis.edu>, Dmitry Lajus <dlajus@gmail.com>

Hi Susan and Dmitry,

I would like to share this a very good news that the *Enhalus* paper is now accepted for publication in Aquatic Botany....Alhamdulillah...even though after the second major and substantial revisions.

Thank you, Susan and Dmitry, for your big help for this manuscript.

Kind Regards,
Rohani and Inayah
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Ina Yasir <inakhae@gmail.com>

21 Juli 2015 09.59

Kepada: Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

Alhamdulillah...

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Dmitry Lajus <dlajus@gmail.com>

21 Juli 2015 14.26

Kepada: Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

My congratulations. Rohani!

Indeed, it would be difficult to imagine you in Western Siberia. But I go to New York in three days. Can you imagine yourself there?

Best.

Dmitry.

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

--

Department of Ichthyology and Hydrobiology

Faculty of Biology

St. Petersburg State University

16 line V.O. 29, 199178, St. Petersburg, Russia

Susan Williams <slwilliams@ucdavis.edu>

21 Juli 2015 23.36

Kepada: Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>, Ina Yasir <inakhae@gmail.com>

Cc: Dmitry Lajus <dlajus@gmail.com>

Hi, Rohani and Ibu Ina,

Congratulations!

Best regards,

Susan

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



Rohani Ambo Rappe <rohani.amborappe@gmail.com>

Your PDF The effect of storage condition on viability of Enhalus acoroides seedlings has been built and requires approval

1 pesan

Aquatic Botany <aqbot@elsevier.com>
Kepada: rohani.amborappe@gmail.com

16 Juli 2015 08.38

Aquatic Botany

Title: The effect of storage condition on viability of Enhalus acoroides seedlings

Authors: Rohani Ambo-Rappe, Ph.D.; Inayah Yasir, Ph.D.

Dear Nani,

The PDF for your submission, "The effect of storage condition on viability of Enhalus acoroides seedlings" has now been built and is ready for your approval. Please view the submission before approving it, to be certain that it is free of any errors. If you have already approved the PDF of your submission, this e-mail can be ignored.

To approve the PDF please login to the Elsevier Editorial System as an Author:

<http://ees.elsevier.com/aqbot/>

Your username is: rohani.amborappe@gmail.com

Then click on the folder 'Submissions Waiting for Author's Approval' to view and approve the PDF of your submission. You may need to click on 'Action Links' to expand your Action Links menu.

You will also need to confirm that you have read and agree with the Elsevier Ethics in Publishing statement before the submission process can be completed. Once all of the above steps are done, you will receive an e-mail confirming receipt of your submission from the Editorial Office. For further information or if you have trouble completing these steps please go to: http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/88/p/7923.

Please note that you are required to ensure everything appears appropriately in PDF and no change can be made after approving a submission. If you have any trouble with the generated PDF or completing these steps please go to: http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/88/p/7923.

Your submission will be given a reference number once an Editor has been assigned to handle it.

Thank you for your time and patience.

Kind regards,
Editorial Office
Aquatic Botany

For further assistance, please visit our customer support site at <http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/list/p/7923>. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions and learn more about EES via interactive tutorials. You will also find our 24/7 support contact details should you need any further assistance from one of our customer support representatives.